

ans  
3/5/91

PETER FLINT  
ATTORNEY AND COUNSELLOR AT LAW

552-21

Eagle Lake Dam  
Rd July 26/91

NEW YORK.

Feb 23/14

My dear Luthy:

I enclose what Moore published in Waterways and Commerce and also in the last Forest and Stream. You have had what I wrote to the Governor when I learned that Moore was trying to make fun of the subject instead of treating our requests with respect. They have made a "bull through Sherman" and have been caught in the same and want to run away. So they become attorney for Newton, in effect, if not in reality. You see how they are steeped with Newton to the core. Lodge has been a very weak brother. I fancy it is like him.

Now this ought to be done: A suit should be started by a certain number of property owners—an equity action—each one reserving his right to bring a suit at law for damages to his property, in case we cannot force Newton, by order of the court, to construct this dam in which we are all interested and have a qualified property right, in virtue of years of peaceable occupancy of our shores at the present water level. We can take up in this application at Special Term before a judge without a jury, all the facts and circumstances of the damage to fishing, loss of navigation, loss of rights to enjoy our piers and houses caused by Newton's act. I am sure that the Court would grant us injunctive or affirmative relief ~~and order add at least rebuilt~~. If we fail, we have our suits for damages. There is a recent case something like ours where the court interfered with a man who flooded a meadow for cutting ice and made malarial conditions. He was sued and ordered like this case to restore conditions as they were, pull down the dam in that case BUT THE COURT ALLOWED the deft to Build a new dam further down stream, if it would not interfere with the comfort and health of the party who lived on the brook above. This was accordingly done.. I will now write to Ferris as you suggest. Do you now tell the property

*what I suggest*  
owners. Forest and Stream says that Moore admits all our case. Our Governor has troubles of his own. He is liable to be called to account for his acts as Comptroller by the Republicans and I guess that he and his official family will huddle up close and try to weather the storm like a bunch of quail.

I have worked many days and some nights over this problem. I have taken an honest pride in standing for our side as I have often done before at Eagle Lake. I think the Com. thoroughly respects me in my position. Dr. Bishop said the other day that he was amazed at the amount of work that had been done. He said why not have Lanson Moore or some one go up and fix up that old dam temporarily, and "lambaste" anyone who touches it. He thinks that would be a good way to assert the peoples rights.

This application to special term can be made on affidavits of several owners, and Court can be asked to extend instant relief by directing the owner to repair the dam to prevent irreparable mischief and damage and that if necessary the expense can be left open subject to the actual determination of the suit for damages to follow. *of course no one but myself will leave to attempt Special Term*

This is a sort of report of the Committee to you after every possible attempt has been made to induce the commission to enforce its direction to Newton to construct a proper Dame.

John D. Whish former Clerk of Commission has come out in last Forest & Stream against the Fish Commission and refers to an article that I wrote lately, showing that a great number of trout hatched did not prove that there were more now growing in the streams. I had an article setting ~~aside~~ theory of goutre in trout being of a contagious or bacteriological character. You must take the Forest & Stream regularly from your dealer at present to keep up.

*will try to*  
I would like to be ~~regularly~~ engaged by ~~the~~ people at the lake to push this motion. I ~~can~~ go up there early and work up the case for the May Term at E. Town. Of course the Special Term at Port Henry before Judge Van Pyke can come up at once. *mechanic*

So, let me know what you want to have done and I will get ready. I know the game BY NOW. Dont you think?

Yours truly,

Peter Flint

*P.S. I don't think the court will do a thing until we know they're ~~willing~~ to adopt "real" law in this matter. If looks like a trial is in the offing, I'll write again.*

I can prepare these several affidavets  
needed on the motion here in New York  
(Three or four will be enough) and  
can send them to various posters  
to read, sign & verify. It is likely that  
upon service of our notice of motion  
they may make counter motions, - better  
than being in their power. It is a  
question with me if they can sell  
the dam site - as it is clearly  
a highway & property belongs to  
Tiandougha. The road to the  
dam & the dam is the road. Ti-  
has control of the highway and if it  
wanted us to protect ~~it~~ <sup>they do</sup> by making  
a concrete dam how could Newlin  
prevent it. This is merely a suggestion  
that occurs to my mind.