

But cut in judging by Fink's
conversation with me and
the letter he has up'd which he
wanted sent out to the
landowners that he affects
\$200,000 more to be made
in making it or in all. If this
is not for a certain time
the agreement made by him
with you and Hand & Range,
it shows he is absolutely
unreliable and not to be
trusted and should not be
of either employed. However
if his demand is not
warranted by any agreement
made, and if he does

Collins Park Pa. Oct. 25. 14

My dear Sir - Referring to your
letter of the 21st inst. and inquiries,
I am willing to pay \$10,000 more
to Mr. Range or Mr. Fink at any
time in being in all \$25,000
which I said I would contribute
towards Mr. Range's expenses.
In this case, if Mr. Fink does
not succeed in getting a
temporary injunction at this
time I will not pay personally
contribute anything towards
the continuation of this suit
by Mr. Range. I am almost

we could be getting as strong as my
impression and then I was almost
to be as good in the same
event as he told me. I
am quite willing to give
anything more provided
the individual as a body
are willing to receive. but
I will not agree to join one
party or one of the
or any part. if any form
of the individual is
to be in all the same of
continuing this suit. I do not
agree with you that join a

five individuals should
of any all the expenses of the
with even if they are four times
reimbursed of towards by
the as a result. There are
number of landowners who
have entered voluntarily
nothing so far. who entering
are as well as much better
prepared to disburse money
than are at present. and
am tired of their attitude
regarding the interests of the
where which are their interests
as much as mine. There is more

impairment is ⁽⁶⁾is not, and if it
is not I will only contribute
a smaller sum as a loan down
and not as one of a party
of three or four to construction
of the farm as stated.
Even if I were not impaired
to such a material degree
it is useless. I am
in no condition of income
to make any contribution
I intend will not have
to borrow, but instead this
would be paid through.

at all why ⁽⁵⁾should not be
able to go any time one
the expenses of any company
which affords the same
well one of the same and they
should not be allowed to take
that from a few of us will
be burdened if anything
I think and that if a company
impairment was not of us
the same would have to be
taken to the appeal at the division event
on an appeal. but as far as
I am concerned the same
states right here if no impairment
is shown in any way

but if we rationed ^{entire} ~~entire~~
to pass dividends or ~~pass~~
~~do~~ them as they have been
during I ~~entirely~~ will have no
worry to give to Progressive
a Democratic ways who
voted for her 4 times and
present conditions. If they like
that state of affairs they must
suffer like me who does not.
With kind regards. I am

Ever truly yours

J. P. Gandy